1. Facts and figures

The aim of the present Country Report is to present a comprehensive and true generic picture of the pedestrian's functioning and satisfaction with offered conditions. In order to provide a true picture of reality, hidden issues must be uncovered, and figures be corrected for bias, by complementary estimations. Furthermore, reality must be evaluated from all relevant perspectives: functionality, perception, durability and future prospects, and coherence and integration.

A decisive factor in pedestrian performance and satisfaction is the pedestrian’s ability to cope with his environment. Pedestrians are an extremely heterogeneous group. The functioning of the system depends particularly on how well low competency persons can function. The order of magnitude of mobility restrictions can be estimated through much used indicators from the SF12 surveys and OECD indicators for Quality of Life studies. Additional indicators can be derived from travel surveys and health statistics on disorders. About 50% of the pedestrians have limited abilities and about 10% of the population has severe difficulties walking and sojourning in public space (see tables 2 – 12).

Mobility is defined by the freedom to choose to travel and sojourn in public space. The amount of distance that one can cover is less important than being able to make a trip. Pedestrian mobility differs from other modes by that it is part of almost all other trips. Multi-modal walking is (almost) as extensive as walking from door to door, but this is hidden in statistics. However, the hidden amount of walking can be estimated within reasonable margins.

Based on available statistics an image of major characteristics of walking can be formed. Action radius, age, urbanity and opportunities seem to be the most significant factors. The normal action radius of a pedestrian is ± 1 kilometre; an average Dutch citizen walks 250 door-to-door trips per year and 1,800 times to and from other modes. In total pedestrians cover ± 300 kilometres and spend about 100 hours per person per year on walking (see tables 13 – 14 and figures 1 – 6).

Sojourning in public space is important because it is an indicator for quality of public space and it encourages all kinds of activities, which humans need for their well-being. There are many kinds of sojourning: professional activities, recreational activities, waiting, hanging out, but public space is also the home of the homeless and sometimes the scene of crime and violence. The average amount of time spent on sojourning is about 300 hours per person per year (see below, page 9 -10).

Safety and security concern the absence of risk, accidents and potentially harmful incidents. Safety includes security; security is seen as a condition, where one is protected against danger from the outside. As walking is the only mode open to all persons, safety and security must always be seen in the context of mobility and accessibility, particularly protecting the ones that do not have a choice but to walk.

The most used safety indicator is traffic accidents. As accidents that do not involve a moving vehicle, are excluded by definition, the data provide a severely biased image of pedestrian safety. Hospital data and medical assistance data show that single pedestrian accidents (falls), where no moving vehicle is involved, in the Netherlands induce three times as many casualties as pedestrian-vehicle crashes. The total number of victims amongst pedestrians
for the Netherlands amounts to almost 50,000 injured pedestrians per year, of whom 5,200 are admitted to hospital and 150 are fatally injured (see tables 15 and 16).

As for fatalities, because of the overwhelming external force, pedestrian-vehicle crashes dominate the outcome. The total numbers of pedestrians killed in the Netherlands is 9 fatalities per million inhabitants in the Netherlands. The number of vehicle related fatalities per million inhabitants is 6, whilst the number of fatalities from falls is 3.

Concerning severe injuries (casualties admitted to a hospital), it is found that the total incidence of pedestrian injuries is 320 per million inhabitants (over 5,200 severely injured Dutch citizens). Of this, 250 per million casualties result from falls (4,000 pedestrians) and 75 per million from pedestrian-vehicle collisions (1,200 pedestrians). The elderly run extreme risk.

For security the number of incidents is less normative than the fear and emotions it evokes. Compared to traffic accidents and falls, the actual risk on getting injured or killed in a criminal incident is substantially lower. Fear is a reality that needs to be taken into account, because it takes away people’s freedom of mobility. Particularly during dusk and night time, especially females and the elderly fear to be involved in a harmful incident. Statistics show that the real number of criminal acts in public space is stable over the years.

Satisfaction is a state of mind related to the fulfilment of one’s wishes, expectations, or needs, and it reflects the pleasure derived from this. There is little research carried out on pedestrian satisfaction. The sparse information about what dissatisfies people comes mainly from complaints that local authorities and NGO’s received via hotlines, questionnaires or internet sites. It is striking that the aspects people communicate are mainly about operational nuisances and that hardly anyone mentions tactical or strategic level deficits, like network deficiencies, dysfunctional distribution of services etc.

With regard to walking and sojourning, demonstrable serious problems and deficits problems are partly or totally hidden from public, scientific and political attention. Major issues for policy making with regard to the pedestrian performance and satisfaction are:

- Large numbers of people have real trouble performing ‘walking and sojourning’ tasks. Because of ageing of the population the numbers will increase substantially.
- With regard to safety of pedestrians, particularly the prevention of falls is important; this is also an age related problem.
- There is too little awareness that without walking transportation is not possible.
- The vicious circle of no data – no awareness – no priority - no research – no data, needs to be broken. The lack of data/information on walking and sojourning is imminent; Some crucial concepts and statistical units need to be redefined and internationally applied.
Key figures Pedestrians in the Netherlands.

Table 1 Key figures from official statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1970 (abs)</th>
<th>2000 (abs)</th>
<th>increase (%)</th>
<th>2030 (abs)</th>
<th>increase (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built up area (sq. Kilometers)</td>
<td>2121</td>
<td>3183</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>3770</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Built up area for housing (sq. Kilometers)</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>2211</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2619</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>urban roads (estimated total length)</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>69882</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pedestrian facilities (estimated sq. Kilometers)</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>147.5</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population (x 1000)</td>
<td>12958</td>
<td>15864</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>17900</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td>3986</td>
<td>6801</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>8950</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average household size</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>-28.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area pedestrian facilities per person</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idem per household</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Killed in traffic (reported absolute numbers)</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-82.8</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idem, per 1 mln inhabitants</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-86.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seriously injured in traffic (reported absolute numbers)</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idem, per 1 mln inhabitants</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2 Indicative figures on number of (partially) handicapped persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>in Netherlands 1995</th>
<th>per million of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People with total loss of function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelchair users</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>4,400 = 0.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>940 = 0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>1,250 = 0.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>6,590 = 0.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| People with functional limitations   |                     |                           |
| Children 0 -14 years                 | 2,800,000           | 175,000 = 17.5 %          |
| Walking impaired                     | 400,000             | 25,000 = 2.5 %            |
| Balance disorder                     | 400,000             | 25,000 = 2.5 %            |
| Limited stamina                      | 400,000             | 25,000 = 2.5 %            |
| Visually impaired                    | 300,000             | 18,750 = 1.9 %            |
| Hearing impaired                     | 300,000             | 18,750 = 1.9 %            |
| Cognitive and mental impaired        | 400,000             | 25,000 = 2.5 %            |
| Temporary handicapped                | 500,000             | 31,250 = 3.1 %            |
| Parents with prams                  | 500,000             | 31,250 = 3.1 %            |
| Total                                | 6,000,000           | 375,000 = 37.5 %          |

Source: Asmussen, 1996
Table 3 Health impedes considerable physical efforts – average 2001-2009 (SF Question 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>5,9%</td>
<td>8,5%</td>
<td>7,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>6,5%</td>
<td>11,4%</td>
<td>9,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>10,3%</td>
<td>16,9%</td>
<td>13,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>18,0%</td>
<td>24,4%</td>
<td>21,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>21,7%</td>
<td>32,2%</td>
<td>27,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>36,2%</td>
<td>46,8%</td>
<td>42,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>14,6%</td>
<td>21,7%</td>
<td>18,3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 4 Climbing stairs impeded by physical limitations - averages 2001-2009 (SF Question 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>5,5%</td>
<td>8,5%</td>
<td>7,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>5,1%</td>
<td>11,6%</td>
<td>8,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>8,4%</td>
<td>14,9%</td>
<td>11,8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>20,7%</td>
<td>26,7%</td>
<td>23,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>34,4%</td>
<td>46,0%</td>
<td>40,4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>54,5%</td>
<td>71,6%</td>
<td>64,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>17,0%</td>
<td>25,0%</td>
<td>21,1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 5 Daily activities impeded by physical limitations - averages 2001-2009 (SF Question 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>8,2%</td>
<td>9,9%</td>
<td>9,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>6,5%</td>
<td>12,6%</td>
<td>9,7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>9,5%</td>
<td>18,5%</td>
<td>14,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>21,9%</td>
<td>30,7%</td>
<td>26,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>31,9%</td>
<td>47,3%</td>
<td>40,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>51,1%</td>
<td>73,7%</td>
<td>64,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>17,7%</td>
<td>28,1%</td>
<td>23,0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 6 Activities impeded by pain - averages over 2001-2009 (SF Question 8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male + Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>21,9%</td>
<td>31,1%</td>
<td>26,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>22,4%</td>
<td>35,6%</td>
<td>29,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>25,8%</td>
<td>37,1%</td>
<td>31,6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>35,1%</td>
<td>45,3%</td>
<td>40,2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>38,7%</td>
<td>53,1%</td>
<td>46,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>45,4%</td>
<td>66,7%</td>
<td>58,1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>30,6%</td>
<td>42,9%</td>
<td>36,9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010
Table 7  Days per year of impeded activity - averages 2001-2009 (Question 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>24,6</td>
<td>27,8</td>
<td>26,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>28,8</td>
<td>34,0</td>
<td>31,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>44,8</td>
<td>38,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>52,2</td>
<td>46,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>41,3</td>
<td>56,0</td>
<td>49,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>46,1</td>
<td>65,4</td>
<td>58,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>42,7</td>
<td>37,6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 8  The percentage of persons with limited hearing - averages 2001-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>0,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>2,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>3,9</td>
<td>5,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>13,0</td>
<td>12,5</td>
<td>12,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3,1</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>2,7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 9  The percentage of persons with limited eye sight - averages 2001-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>7,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>5,9</td>
<td>8,0</td>
<td>7,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>7,9</td>
<td>14,9</td>
<td>12,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>5,1</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010

Table 10  The percentage of persons with limited agility - averages 2001-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males + females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 - 18 years</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 25 years</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>2,0</td>
<td>1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 45 years</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>4,0</td>
<td>2,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 65 years</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>8,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75 years</td>
<td>10,2</td>
<td>20,0</td>
<td>15,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>22,7</td>
<td>48,3</td>
<td>37,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>4,8</td>
<td>10,7</td>
<td>7,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Statistics Netherlands www.cbs.nl 18-3-2010
### Table 11  Mobility handicapped according to MON 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% in 2004</th>
<th>% of population group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic handicapped</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6,1% of the total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which &lt;65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,4% of persons &lt; 65 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which 65 - 79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14,9% of persons 65 – 79 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which &gt;80 years of age</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70,0% of 80+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Socialdata, 2005

### Table 12  Predicted number of people with limited mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% people with limited mobility</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Younger than 65</td>
<td>340,000</td>
<td>340,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number 65 - 79</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>430,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number 80+</td>
<td>410,000</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td>460,000</td>
<td>490,000</td>
<td>660,000</td>
<td>830,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>990,000</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
<td>1,410,000</td>
<td>1,620,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Mobility of pedestrians

### Table 13  Corrected figures for walking (including estimates for multi-modal walking NL 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share of walking in relation to total distance covered (kilometres)</th>
<th>units</th>
<th>per person per day</th>
<th>per person per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered in 2007 (all modes) in kms</td>
<td>187.440.132.155</td>
<td>31,4 kms</td>
<td>11.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance covered on foot in 2007 in kms</td>
<td>5.257.616.382</td>
<td>0,9 kms</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which in door-to-door trips</td>
<td>3.088.689.480</td>
<td>0,5 kms</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which in multi modal trips</td>
<td>2.168.926.902</td>
<td>0,4 kms</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of journeys</td>
<td>16.997.956.850</td>
<td>2,8 journeys</td>
<td>1.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of (sub)trips</td>
<td>43.357.648.009</td>
<td>7,3 (sub) trips</td>
<td>2.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of journeys on foot</td>
<td>3.555.472.219</td>
<td>0,6 journeys</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of (sub)trips on foot</td>
<td>29.915.163.379</td>
<td>5,0 (sub) trips</td>
<td>1.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total travel time in minutes</td>
<td>448.907.752.791</td>
<td>75,2 minutes</td>
<td>457 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total travel time in minutes on foot</td>
<td>105.152.327.637</td>
<td>17,6 minutes</td>
<td>107 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share in % of distance covered of (sub) trips on foot</td>
<td>2,8% (kms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share in % of (sub)trips</td>
<td>69,0% (sub-trips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share in % of travel time of (sub) trips on foot</td>
<td>23,4% (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 14  Reported mobility characteristics of walking (persons 12+)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Trips</th>
<th>Distance (kms)</th>
<th>Travel Time (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>8.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>6.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Website CBS Statline

![Figure 1  Number of trips per mode (NL 2007)](image1)

![Figure 2  Travel time per mode (NL 2007)](image2)
Figure 3  Distribution of journeys by journey distance

Figure 4  Number of trips increasing with level of urbanisation (NL, 2007)
Data on sojourning in public space

From time spending and leisure time studies some indications of the order of magnitude and time characteristics can be deduced. From time spending surveys in the Netherlands it is known that the amount of leisure time spent outdoors decreased from 14.8 hours per week in 1975 to 13.3 hours per week in 2000. Most leisure travel takes place on Sundays (21%) and Saturdays (18%). The rest of the weekdays are good for 12 – 13% of the trips. In 2001 and 2002 almost a billion daytrips (more than 2 hours from home, excluding trips of more than
500 km and walking trips) were made by the Dutch population, which equals about 60
daytrips per capita (Harms, 2006; Harms, 2008). Of these trips 430 million concerned some
form of sojourning in public space\(^1\). It can be calculated that, if 50% of these trips concern
sojourning in public space, in total some 3 hours per person per week are spent sojourning in
public space for leisure purposes. Sojourning in public space for other reasons (waiting,
lunch hour time, playing outdoors, hanging out, professional activities) will probably be just
as much. Consequently, the total amount of time spent sojourning in public space will
average approximately one hour per person per day. This is about as much time as is spent
walking.

**Data on safety of pedestrians**

**Table 15  Average number of victims per year (2003 – 2007) – ‘real’ numbers per million
inhabitants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deceased</th>
<th>Hospitalised (excl. deceased)</th>
<th>Urgent Medical Assistance (excl. Hospitalised)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>3,050</td>
<td>3,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which single accidents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2,825</td>
<td>3,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which traffic accidents</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicyclists</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>3,700</td>
<td>4,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which single accidents</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>2,925</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which multiple vehicle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other modes</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB. The numbers are rounded and corrected for doubles

**Table 16  Average number of victims per year (2003 – 2007) – real numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deceased</th>
<th>Hospitalised (excl. deceased)</th>
<th>Urgent Medical Assistance (excl. Hospitalised)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.200</td>
<td>49.700</td>
<td>55.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which single accidents</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>45.900</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which traffic accidents</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>3.800</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicyclists</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>7.600</td>
<td>60.200</td>
<td>68.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which single accidents</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>47.500</td>
<td>53.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which multiple vehicle</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>12.700</td>
<td>14.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other modes</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>8.200</td>
<td>48.100</td>
<td>57.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>21.000</td>
<td>158.000</td>
<td>180.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB. The numbers are rounded and corrected for doubles

\(^1\) The activities that include some form of sojourning in public space are: outdoor recreation (28.6
million trips), walking (65.9 million trips), funshopping (112.8 million trips), public events (42.3 million
trips), visiting heritage estates and areas (28.9 million trips) and ‘various others’ (156.0 million trips),
totalling 434.5 million trips of total 981.6 million trips (source: Harms, 2006, table 6.2, page 85).
Table 17  Summed travel accident costs (x million Euros, rounded)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Per inhabitant</th>
<th>Killed persons</th>
<th>Hospital admittances</th>
<th>Urgent Medical assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>2,065</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicyclist</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Modes</td>
<td>3,895</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8,880</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2,370</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>1,265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7  Risk of fall incidents - hospital admittances per million inhabitants per year by age groups (NL, 2006/2007)

Figure 8  Severity of pedestrian hospital admittances (NL, 2006 & 2007)
### Table 18 Pedestrian casualties per million inhabitants in EC-19 Countries (PQN Countries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Fatalities – pedestrian per million inhabitants</th>
<th>Injured pedestrians per million inhabitants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HE</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>46*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Dell’Asin, 2008

### Table 19 Number of killed in traffic - reported by the police in the Netherlands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other modes</td>
<td>2572</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3181</td>
<td>2321</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 9 Reported traffic fatalities in the Netherlands 1950 - 2009
Satisfaction of conditions for pedestrians

There is little research carried out on pedestrian satisfaction; there is, however, some information about what dissatisfies people, particularly from complaints that local authorities and NGO received via hotlines, questionnaire or internet sites.

One example of such information is the report on a diary survey on walking by the Dutch Pedestrians Association. The study included questions on walking experiences and satisfaction. Almost 30% of the respondents complained about sidewalk conditions, 8% about traffic safety and 20% about various other matters. Dog excrements were a number one annoyance (Knippenbergh, 1993).

In the same survey questions were asked about the respondent’s most agreeable and least agreeable trips in the week preceding the survey. For ‘most agreeable trips’, walking trips score highest (41%) followed by bicycle trips (38%), public transport (12%); only 12% of the respondents mentioned a car trip as the most agreeable one during the survey week2. For the most unpleasant trip the scores were 38% for a walking trip, 27% for a bicycle trip, 12% for a public transport trip and 22% for a car trip. Apparently walking, when explicitly asked for experiences, evokes intense most positive and negative feelings.

Another example of satisfaction information is provided by Goudappel Coffeng, a consultancy firm that counsels municipalities on public inquiry procedures for town renovation projects. In this context this agency designed and hosted websites that citizens can use to submit their statements. For the PQN project a selection of in total 314 statements regarding walking and cycling issues of 9 projects3 was delivered. Some of the entries are more than 250 words and covered multiple issues.

In total there were 222 entries about infrastructural issues. 31 of them concerned inadequate pedestrian facility availability and 17 inadequate quality of the pedestrian facilities. There were 20 entries about inadequate bicycle facility availability and 17 about their quality; regarding non-motorised traffic there were 25 entries about inadequate quantity and 22 about inadequate quality.

Other entries concerned rule-following (32 x speed limits, 20 x parking, 1 x pedestrian priority), safety (52 x traffic speeds and 61 x crossing), communication (20 entries).

Other (general) satisfaction surveys yield listings that particularly concern ‘small’ sufferings like dog excrements, graffiti, urinating in public, degeneration, street litter, speeding cars and mopeds, unsavoury persons, loitering youngsters and have a negative impact on people’s safety and security perception. It is striking that the aspects people communicate are mainly about operational nuisances and that hardly anyone mentions inadequate tactical or strategic level deficits, like network deficiencies, dysfunctional distribution of services etc. Apparently higher level dissatisfaction are only noticed by experts.

---

2 There could be some bias here, because the Pedestrians’ Association was the sender...
3 From the Dutch cities Boxtel, Deventer, Duiven, Heiloo, Maarsen, Maastricht, Oost/Wijhe and Uithoorn. The cities are spread out evenly over the country. The projects concern works in the period of 2006 – 2009.
2. (Recent) publications on pedestrian issues


The report shows how people walk: where, when and why. It described empirical research on the neighbourhood level. It results in indicators for and against walking and controversies and eye openers regarding environmental awareness, competition between modes, home-work travel, pedestrian friendliness and time budgets.

The report is available through the AVV Transport Research Centre library. The report was also presented on the WALK21 conference in San Sebastian in 2001


In official statistics the mobility on foot is underreported. This results in low problem awareness and has negative consequences for policy making. The report includes available figures on pedestrian mobility and safety corrected for know biases. The correction method is described in detail.

The report was published by the former Dutch Pedestrians’ Association and is currently available through AVV Transport Research Centre library.


The report describes the state of the art of knowledge on vulnerable road users. The report is written as a reference book on this issue.

The first three chapters deal with the reasons for the project, the aims and the questions and the project in a birds’ eye view.

In the 4th chapter the context of the project is described: which persons are vulnerable, what do we know about the issue en what theoretical framework is used within the project.

In the 5th chapter the results of the project are presented. In this chapter one can find indications of the nature, scale and seriousness of the problems, the causal factors (mechanisms), quality needs, present policy approach and countermeasures, trends in the social position of the various vulnerable groups and the degree to which the problem will increase/decrease and the consequences. In the last chapter conclusions and policy recommendations are drawn for the situation in The Netherlands.

Available in Dutch and in English via http://www.rws-avv.nl/pls/portal30/docs/6615.PDF


The report includes guidelines for local road authorities for pedestrian facilities. The guidelines are based on a conceptual framework, which is described in the report. Touchstone for quality is a set of values: Functionality, Perception value and Durability and Future Prospects. The authors indicate that policy making should start on the structure level (land use, re-classification of the road infrastructure into sojourn areas and traffic roads (connectors and trunk roads, based on the ideas of Sustainable Road Safety). Facilities are described both on the structure (network) and site levels. Additional supporting measures are also described.

The book was published by the former Dutch Pedestrians Association; the book is available through the AVV Transport Research Centre library. It is also available as PDF.

The authors deal with the arguments for extra attention to the pedestrian, influences on the position of the pedestrian, influences on current thinking regarding the pedestrian, target images, the role of government and NGO's and the Pedestrians Association in the force field. The report was published by the former Dutch Pedestrians Association; the book is available through the AVV Transport Research Centre library.

Hogeschool West Brabant (1995), *The crossed pedestrian – how trades people and the municipality crossed the pedestrian on the sidewalks of connector roads in Breda (De voet dwars gezet – Hoe in Breda middenstanders en Gemeente de voetganger dwars zitten op de stoep van verbindingsstraten)*, De Voetgangersvereniging, Den Haag

The authors describe how the sidewalks were obstructed by various objects and goods displays and how difficult it was to walk. The report was published by the former Dutch Pedestrians Association; the book is available through the AVV Transport Research Centre library.


short abstract

The book gives practical guidelines for designing child friendly streets.

CROW through www.crow.nl


Based on current legislation and the interest of the handicapped, criteria and guidelines were developed for the paving of footpaths and sidewalks. The work was supported by the provincial road authority in Utrecht. The report is published by Clientenbelang Utrecht and available via http://home.planet.nl/~haug0000/download/Voetpaden%20voor%20iedereen.pdf


The report describes valid and researched arguments that can be used for policy development on the local level. Available through Kennisplatform Verkeer en Vervoer, Rotterdam


Road signing for pedestrians has been an undiscovered issue for a long time. Lately much work has been done to improve the situation. It however remains useful to carefully design road signing for pedestrians. In the publication 2 kinds of systems are discussed. Some general points of attention are formulated.

www.crow.nl – publication 89
Schenk, M.J. (2005), *Don't be afraid of the zebra (Wees niet bang voor de zebra – afstudeerscriptie NHTV)*, NHTV/SOAB, Breda.

not available
Graduation thesis – NHTV (Breda University) in co-operation with SOAB consultants.

Spapé, I. (2006), *Safe the zebra (Red de zebra) SOAB, Breda*

The call for zebras from schools and neighbourhood councils is large. Traffic experts however are divided regarding their usefulness and safety. Local road authorities often say that zebras offer illusory safety, or that zebras are not allowed within the Sustainable Safety Policy. The credibility of the zebra as an instrument for traffic engineers, planners and designers is at stake. It is time for a clear statement. Is the zebra an idea of the past? Under what conditions should they be implemented and when not?

Article; presentation at national Transport and Traffic Course 2006


The report includes figures on rollators from Emergency Rescue trauma database built from a representative sample of hospitals
Stichting Consument en Veiligheid, website:
http://www.veiligheid.nl/csi/websiteveiligheid.nsf/wwwVwContent/homepage.htm

Sman, C. van der (2003), *The safety of travel aids (Veiligheid van verplaatsingshulpmiddelen)*, Stichting Consument en Veiligheid, Amsterdam.

The report includes figures on travel aids from Emergency Rescue trauma database built from a representative sample of hospitals.

Stichting Consument en Veiligheid, website:
http://www.veiligheid.nl/csi/websiteveiligheid.nsf/wwwVwContent/homepage.htm


Pedestrians are vulnerable in traffic. Especially 5-11 year old children and the elderly of 75 years old and older have a high casualty rate. Relatively many crashes involving the elderly occur in the vicinity of pedestrian crossings. Cars are the most frequent collision opponents in pedestrian crashes. The following measures can improve pedestrian safety: infrastructural measures (such as laying out 30 km/h zones and safe pedestrian crossings), vehicle measures (such as pedestrian-friendly car fronts and the use of speed limiters) and behaviour measures (such as improving campaigns and education).

PDF on the SWOV website; SWOV-website
http://www.swov.nl/rapport/factsheets/fs_pedestrians.pdf
http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/Factsheet_Voetgangers.pdf

More than half of all severe crashes (with deaths or in-patients) in which cyclists or pedestrians are involved occur while crossing the road. An estimated 14% of these crashes occur on crossing facilities, in spite of the huge numbers of people crossing there (data 2002). Crossing locations are, therefore, relatively safe. There are a large number of different designs and layouts, which causes a lack of clarity among those crossing, but also among approaching drivers. What is expected of them? Crossing facilities must be understandable for everybody, especially by means of an unequivocal layout. For this reason there are provisional layout requirements for pedestrian crossing facilities according to Sustainable Safety. There must be only one rule at facilities used by both pedestrians and cyclists: either both have priority, neither have priority, or both have traffic lights. Where they do have priority, this must be indicated by triangular priority marking and by extended speed bumps to ensure a low approaching speed. Crossing facilities at crossroads that are only for cyclists should be raised.

What precisely makes a crossing facility safe and understandable needs more research.

PDF on website; SWOV website
http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/FS_Crossing_facilities.pdf
http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/Factsheet_Oversteekvoorzieningen.pdf


The factsheet describes facts and figures regarding traffic safety of children in general and the Netherlands specifically.
PDF on website;
SWOV website http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/FS_Children.pdf
http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/Factsheet_Kinderen.pdf


The Bicycle Council concluded that there was unsufficient insight in the travel behaviour of young children. The report summarises results of an empirical study. Research document commissioned by the Fietsberaad (Bicycle Council).
http://www.traffictest.nl/assets/rapporten/TT02-095.pdf

SWOV, Factsheet (2006) – the relation of speed and accidents, SWOV, Leidschendam

In the factsheet facts, figures and theories on speed and safety are summarised. Special attention is given to the effects of vehicle speed on the severity of injuries of pedestrians.
PDF on website; http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/Factsheet_Snelheid.pdf


The (internal) report shows the results of a trial-survey on walking trips. It shows that approximately half of all walking is multi-modal walking (to and from other modes). Internal report, not published. Available through the author.


The most risky manoeuvre of pedestrians and bicyclists is crossing the street. In the first part of the report the problem is substantiated; theory and documentation regarding causal factors on the strategic and the tactic/operational levels are discussed and logical goals stipulated. In the second part of the report leads for interventions regarding infrastructure, education,
communication, enforcement, the design of the physical environment, research, empowerment and evaluation are documented. In the conclusion some promising approaches are given. Report (to be published), The report will be available in 2007 trough KPVV – www.kpvv.nl


In this guide attention is given to characteristics and causes of pedestrian accidents, starting points and conditions, walking routes and networks, influences to crossability, strategy for improving crossability, crossability (without right of way), facilities for protected crossing, traffic lights and different levels crossing.
CROW book through the website www.CROW.nl, search for publication 226

Bach, B. (2006), Urban design and traffic – a selection from Bach’s toolbox (bilingual), CROW, Ede.

The book summarises and explains the many ideas Boudewijn Bach taught on urban design and traffic. There are chapters on ‘one space for two disciplines: urban design and traffic’, lessons from history, from profile to network, urban planning and design in relation to transport, public transport and the urban structure, an urban design geared to pedestrians and cyclist, the car and the urban structure, the city and traffic – a look ahead.
CROW book, through the website www.crow.nl, search for publication 221


The booklet gives an overview of usable and safe crossing facilities for pedestrians.
The booklet was published by AGMI and the Pedestrians Association and is available through the AVV Transport Research library.

The Dutch Pedestrians Association (1998?), The elderly safe on foot – a guide for the elderly to help making their environment more safe.(Senioren Veilig te voet – Handleiding voor ouderen om de omgeving veiliger te maken), De Voetgangersvereniging, Den Haag.

The booklet contains a step-by-step guide for improvement of the walking environment of the elderly, by the elderly themselves. It includes simple questionnaires for discovering how bad the situation is, what is the matter. It explains the causal chain of problems and gives tips for actions towards those that can actually improve the environment.
Boklet, part of a course for the elderly; The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre


The brochure describes what action can be taken by a private person after an pedestrian accident. Insight is given in which authorities can be approached, what legislation there is regarding liability.
The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre

The report includes a vision on safe sojourn in public space. The vision is based on the Sustainable Road Safety philosophy and the so called Cascade model: first try to improve structure and then focus on design and equipment of public space; if everything possible has been done, focus on the use (behaviour) of public space.
Report. The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre


The report summarises information on the demand and supply side of travelling for shopping. Results of a survey on the shopping public’s view on route quality is reported on. Quality criteria for shopping routes are described.
Report; there is also a short version available, directed at road authorities.
The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre


Report: The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre


The study explores the future prospects for the pedestrian (horizon: 2040). In the study the situation for pedestrians is related to demographic trends, technological developments, economic developments, social and cultural developments, governmental changes and spatial developments. In the Netherlands it was the first of it kind.
Thesis; The booklet was published by the Pedestrians Association; it is out of print, but a copy is available at AVV Transport Research centre


The report focuses on the identification of potential dangers and accidents during the land use planning process. The conflictogram method is a forecasting method, suitable when accident data are not available.
Report and sliding card. The report was published by the Regional Traffic Safety Board in Drenthe; it is available in the AVV Transport Research Centre library.

Knippenberg, K.G.H. The pedestrian in the Netherlands (De voetganger in Nederland), NHTV/Adviesdienst Verkeer en Vervoer, Breda/Rotterdam
The report includes facts and figures on the mobility and safety of pedestrians in the Netherlands, policy statements on the local, regional and national level and an impression of perception of the pedestrian issue. The report is meant to be a preliminary version of a Country Report on Pedestrian Quality in the Netherlands. Graduation thesis. The report is available at AVV Transport research Centre.


This accident study is based on a trauma database derived from a representative sample of hospitals in the Netherlands, and does not relate directly to the road accident database. In principle the trauma database gives full coverage of victims of accidents; in the report accidents in public space are selected, including single pedestrian accidents not covered by the road accident data base.

Report; The report is written by the Stichting Consument en Veiligheid in Amsterdam for the Ministry of Transport; the report is available in the AVV Transport Research Centre library


This report deepens the insight that results from the database study. In the follow-up survey data are gathered on the victim and his household. The results are extrapolated to the national level.

Report. The report is written by the Stichting Consument en Veiligheid in Amsterdam for the Ministry of Transport; the report is available in the AVV Transport Research Centre library


The study draws on three independent accident and trauma databases: the national road accident database BRON, the national medical registration LMR (hospital admittance data) and the injury information system LIS from the Stichting Consument en Veiligheid. The study aimed at giving a comprehensive picture of the extent and severity of travel accidents of pedestrians and bicyclist, wherein pedestrian travel accidents are all accidents in public space.

Report, to be published in March 2007 by AVV Transport Research Centre.


This thesis is about connections in the built environment, networked connections for the mobility of people at the smallest scale of the urban realm: the pedestrian scale. It deals with applications of the new science of networks as a tool for observation and assessment of connectivity in the urban space. It explores networks theoretically through the study recently discovered scientific principles and uses such network concepts empirically by means of a GIS networked model applied to study cases before and after concrete interventions by design in the urban space. Based on the assumption that physical structures have a direct effect on patterns of social organization and behavior and vice versa, this research represents an instrumental approach to the problem of fragmentation in the city, by proposing concrete actions to gain connectivity, in the form of urban projects: connectivity-oriented urban projects. The method of investigation is research by design, with the induction of potential solutions to the problem of fragmentation in the urban tissues in the form of briefs and hypothetical design of urban projects. Briefs and designs presented in this thesis are related to a research design integrated by a theoretical cycle and an empirical cycle. In each one of these cycles, the phases of analysis, design, strategy and evaluation are present, as in a spatial planning cycle.
The evaluation of design has been made with a GIS model developed to observe and assess connectivity at the level of pedestrian mobility in the urban space. Resulting of this work, a report of the design and application of a GIS model devised to observe and assess conditions of transversal connectivity in the urban space is presented. A description of the model, which is based upon the principles of urban structure and the rules of coherence of complex systems, is also included.


Long run developments such as income growth and urban sprawl lead one to expect a continuous decline of the contribution of non-motorised transport modes to the performance of transport systems. In terms of the total number of trips, non-motorised transport modes have retained high shares, however. The potential of non-motorised transport modes to contribute to the urban quality of life is increasingly being recognised. In this paper the possibilities of substitution between non-motorised and motorised transport are discussed. In addition, attention is paid to the issue of complementarity between motorised and non-motorised transport modes.


This thesis describes the developement of a new type of simulation tool for the assessment of designs of public transport facilities (stations, airports) and other public spaces with intensive pedestrian flows. Since the available space for such facilities is increasingly under pressure, the space efficiency and walking comfort is becoming more and more important. The developed simulation tool provides designers and decision-makers with all kinds of quantitative information about the expected quality of pedestrian (traffic) flows such as travel times, waiting times, queue building, preferred routes, visits to shops and counters, etc. This information is very useful in comparing multiple designs as well as to optimise a specific design. The simulation model also is meant to improve schedules of public transport services at interchange nodes by minimising passenger transfer times. To that end, special attention is paid to the modelling of boarding and alighting processes. New insights about walking have been gained by performing unique large-scale laboratory experiments in which large groups of subjects were assigned various walking tasks, such as high volume crossing flows and walking through bottlenecks until flow breaks down. Specific walking and route choice models are developed using observations of passengers on platforms (such as in Delft) and route choice through the station (such as in Delft and Breda). The tool has proven its value in the analysis of new designs of the future Rotterdam Central Station and performance tests of the new Breskens-Vlissingen ferry terminals.


As an example of a systematic procedure for evaluating UMTS downlink DSP functions for adaptivity we study bit-error-rate performance tradeoffs between the spreading factor, the symbol constellation size and the number of used Rake fingers in UMTS despreading and demodulation. After an overview of the DSP functions that are used in UMTS downlink transmission and reception we present a usage scenario for studying the described tradeoffs. In the usage scenario three UMTS physical channel configurations, with different spreading factors and constellation sizes, are used to transport packet-data with a rate of 960 kbps over the ITU Pedestrian B multipath channel. The bit-error-rate performance of the different physical channel configurations is evaluated for a range of signal-to-noise ratios by means of
simulations. The simulation results indicate that the physical channel configuration that is used, and thus the spreading factor and constellation size, should be chosen based on the required bit-error-rate and the requirements of the other data streams in the cell. The simulation results also show that the number of used Rake fingers can be chosen adaptively to optimize a tradeoff between bit-error-rate and required processing power in the low signal-to-noise ratio region.


Abstract not available; master thesis; Technische Universiteit Eindhoven; http://library.tue.nl/csp/dare/LinkToRepository.csp?recordnumber=560078

Timmermans, HJP Hagen, XHAM van der, & Borgers, AWJ (1992), Transportation systems, retail environments and pedestrian trip chaining behaviour : modelling issues and applications, Eindhoven.

Short abstract not available, Article / Letter to the editor
ISSN:0191-2615; http://library.tue.nl/csp/dare/LinkToRepository.csp?recordnumber=589630

Timmermans, HJP Waerden, PJHJ van der (1990), Store performance, pedestrian movement, and parking facilities, Eindhoven.

Short abstract not available; Part of book or chapter of book, Lassleben; ISBN:3-7847-6069-4

Borgers, AWJ Timmermans, HJP (1986) A model of pedestrian route choice and demand for retail facilities within inner-city shopping areas, Eindhoven

Abstract not available Article / Letter to the editor, ISSN:0016-7363; http://library.tue.nl/csp/dare/LinkToRepository.csp?recordnumber=589529


The replacement of the red traffic light for pedestrians by 'blinking yellow' (crossing at own risk) was investigated by means of before-and-after video registration of pedestrian crossing behaviour on six sites. The percentage of pedestrians not crossing in the green phase was, on average, doubled by the introduction of blinking yellow. The size of the critical gap when crossing outside green was not affected by blinking yellow. Also, no change was observed in the number of conflicts observed while crossing. It was concluded that the introduction of blinking yellow had improved the comfort of crossing, while the safety of crossing during blinking yellow had not worsened compared to crossing during red. report; The report is available at TNO Human Factors.

The tram crossings differ strongly in lay-out and design, for instance in aspects such as position of tramway in the design of the crossing, presence of prewarnings for tram signal lights, tram warning lights, etc.. On basis of the analyses, some measures are provided in order to enhance traffic safety on tramways in general.


As part of a large-scale project to improve the safety at railway level crossings in the Netherlands, a number of safety-improving measures has been implemented. Under commission of Railinfrabeheer B.V. a study was conducted to determine the effectiveness of these measures with respect to expected behaviour of road users. The report is available at TNO Human Factors.

De Langen, A.C.B. (2003) *The safety of various types of urban crossing facilities; Accident analysis and behaviour observations (Veiligheid van enkele typen oversteekvoorzieningen in stedelijke gebieden : analyse van ongevallengegevens en gedragswaarnemingen)*, SWOV, Leidschendam.

The research question is whether (1) certain features of the design and layout of a crossing facility, (2) the layout of the road segment in which it is situated, and (3) external factors have a road safety influence. If so, what is the influence? The study was limited to the difference in safety between sustainably-safe (S-S) and non-sustainably-safe (non S-S) zebra crossings. As the most important feature of a S-S zebra crossing, the raised area was chosen. Seven hypotheses were drawn up and tested by behaviour observations. These concerned the behaviour (when they interacted) of the pedestrian crossing over and the driver, and the approach speed of the motorized traffic. The most important conclusions from this were: Pedestrians at a S-S zebra have less confidence in a correct granting of right-of-way than pedestrians at a non S-S zebra. The former, however, leads to less potential conflict situations; and the average approach speed at a S-S zebra crossing (39 km/h) was 7% slower than at a non S-S zebra (42 km/h), which leads to a safer situation. But the approach speed at a S-S zebra is also faster than 30 km/h, i.e. faster than permitted when mixed traffic is involved.


This compilation of Dutch research on pedestrians was made under the supervision of the University of North Carolina and is available on the SWOV website: http://www.swov.nl/rapport/R-98-07.pdf


In the Netherlands Centre for Research and Contract Standardization in Civil and Traffic Engineering (CROW) publication 116, the 'Guidebook for categorising roads on a 'sustainably safe' basis', the first functional demand for a 'sustainably safe' road network is called: 'Achieving as large as possible connecting residential areas'. However, it is not shown how large they are or can be. In this study, a theoretical study into the suitable size of residential
areas is described. Ultimately, the following criteria were used for the choice of area size: journey length, traffic volumes, choice of route, car speeds, and accessibility. Attention is also paid to the relationship between the size of a residential area and the ease of crossing over the distributors. An attempt was made to test the results of the study by using practical experiences. It appeared that these experiences did not reach further than areas up to c.0.65 km² for city centres and c.0.8 km² for a residential area not yet completely built-up.


http://www.swov.nl/rapport/D-2001-03.pdf

This final report of the European PROMISING research project integrates the findings of the work of six workpackages (For workpackage 3 see C 19231 (ITRD E206417). The reports of these workpackages are available separately. The final report selects main findings of the different workpackages and combines the results as much as possible. The report is policy-oriented and illustrated with photos and clear examples, in accordance with the requests of the European Union (EU) DG VII, the commissioner of the project. The aim of the PROMISING project was to develop measures that reduce the risk of injury to vulnerable and young road users as much as possible in a non-restrictive way. That is to say that safety and mobility must be improved together; the improvement of safety should not take place at the cost of reduced mobility. The potential for problem reduction was specified for four target groups of vulnerable road users: pedestrians, cyclists, motorised two-wheelers (motorcyclists and riders of mopeds), and young car drivers. The differences between European countries in their transport modes were taken into consideration. This report may be accessed by Internet users also at: http://www.swov.nl/rapport/D-2001-03.pdf or http://europa.eu.int/comm/transport/road/publications/doc/promising_final.pdf


This report reviews the evaluated effects of what can be called `non-infrastructure measures' to improve the safety of vulnerable road users. Three selected areas are discussed: education and training, measures to enhance visibility and conspicuity, and protective devices for bicyclists. Other types of non-infrastructure measures are briefly mentioned.


The numbers of elderly people are increasing world-wide. Also, the mobility of the elderly people increases and the elderly generation of the coming years will spend much more time and distance in traffic than the present elderly generation; as car drivers, but also as pedestrians and/or cyclists. This paper discusses some aspects of the safety of elderly pedestrians and cyclists.

This final report of the PROMISING project integrates the findings of the work of six workpackages. The reports of these workpackages are available separately. The final report selects main findings of the different workpackages and combines the results as much as possible. The report is policy-oriented and illustrated with photos and clear examples, in accordance with the requests of EU DGVII, the commissioner of the project. The aim of the PROMISING project was to develop measures that reduce the risk of injury to vulnerable and young road users as much as possible in a non-restrictive way. That is to say that safety and mobility must be improved together; the improvement of safety should not take place at the cost of reduced mobility. The potential for problem reduction was specified for four target groups: pedestrians, cyclists, motorised two-wheelers (i.e. motorcyclists and riders of mopeds) and young car drivers. The aim was to present measures within an implementation framework, with the main focus on technical, non-restrictive aspects. The differences between European countries in their transport modes were taken into consideration. In order to determine the potential for problem reduction, available data and expertise of the following subjects were studied and combined: - safety problems, - task requirements, - measures related to problems and tasks, - effects of measures on safety and on mobility, - costs of measures. The research consists of six workpackages. The first four workpackages each focused on one of the target groups. The research approach between the packages varied. Since there is a lack of planning for the mobility of pedestrians and cyclists, the research approach in the workpackages on these subjects was to take walking and cycling as modes of transport in their own right, and consequently to combine transport criteria with safety criteria. The research approach for the motorised two-wheelers and young drivers differed from this, because of the high risk of these modes in relation to the high speed and complex driver task. In these workpackages, the most important safety measures were selected and subsequently mobility aspects were integrated. The PROMISING project paid much attention to the effectiveness of measures. Calculations of the costs and benefits of a selection of measures were needed to compare the different measures. Workpackage 5 described the technique and application of cost-benefit analyses and calculated the costs and benefits of 20 measures selected by the other workpackages. The points of view of road users and their own experiences were also considered in the comparison of the measures. For this reason, an international forum of interest groups was consulted during the project. In four countries, a national forum with representatives of governments and interest groups was asked for their opinions. These consultations are co-ordinated in WP6. In this current report, the most important results and conclusions of the six workpackages are discussed. For the final report of PROMISING see C 19230 (ITRD E206416).


This report is of a study within the project 'The Elderly in the Traffic of Drenthe', Netherlands. Within this project, solutions are looked for to reduce the number of victims among older road users in (the northern Province of) Drenthe. This study aims to discover which infrastructural adjustments can contribute towards reducing the number of older road accident victims. Based on the available literature, an inventory has been made of which infrastructural measures can be taken to increase the safety of older road users (car drivers as well as cyclists and pedestrians). The relevant measures are discussed. The study is concerned with measures that take the functional limitations of the older road user into account and that are relevant for the traffic situations in which there are relatively many accidents involving the elderly in which they are the responsible party. Preceding this, the concomitant functional limitations of old age are described, and those accident types in which the elderly appear to be more often responsible. The design elements offered all concern intersections (at-grade) and (grade
separated) interchanges. The recommendations regarding their implementation are aimed at:
(1) giving the road user more time to observe things, to base decisions on these observations,
and then to carry out a manoeuvre. These include greater sight distances, timely warnings of
changes in the lane configuration, and long acceleration lanes; (2) creating clear and orderly
situations. These include positive offsets of opposite left-turn lanes and traffic islands for
phased crossing over; and (3) are aimed at allowing important features of the infrastructure to
be prominently present. These include clearly contrasting marking (including maintenance)
and emphasizing the correct driving direction.

Wittink, R. (ed.) (2001). National and international forums to discuss the approach and the results of
PROMISING : Discussion in the framework of the European research project PROMISING (Promotion
of Measures for Vulnerable Road Users), Workpackage 7. Leidschendam, SWOV Institute for Road
Safety Research, 2001, 20 p.; D-2001-6

The European PROMISING research project is aimed at the development and promotion of
measures to improve both safety and mobility of vulnerable road users. Consideration was
given to differences between European countries in the share of different transport modes.
During the research work, an exchange was organised about the approach and results of the
PROMISING project in an international forum and four national forums in: France, Finland,
Greece, and the Netherlands. The reports of these exchanges are combined in this deliverable
of the PROMISING project. For the abstracts of the final report of PROMISING and
workpackage 3 see C 19230 (ITRD E206416) and C 19231 (ITRD E206417) respectively.

P.I.J. (2002). SUNflower : a comparative study of the development of road safety in Sweden, the
United Kingdom, and the Netherlands. Leidschendam, SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research /
Crowthorne, Berkshire, Transport Research Laboratory TRL / Linköping, Swedish National Road and

The road safety performance of different countries within Europe varies substantially. The
countries with the lowest accident levels are Sweden, United Kingdom, and the
Netherlands (described here as the SUN countries). The aim of this study is to determine the
underlying elements in the current policies and programmes of the SUN countries, which
make them particularly effective in coping with the traffic safety problem, and thereby identify
policy improvements most likely to produce casualty reductions in both SUN countries and
other (European) countries. Main conclusions with respect to differences between the SUN
countries are: The total risks (i.e. death rates) of the SUN countries are the lowest in the world
and similar, although just significantly lower in Britain (7.28 fatalities per billion motor vehicle
kilometres, versus 8.44 and 8.48 in Sweden and the Netherlands); Traffic growth during 1980-
2000 was largest in Britain and lowest in Sweden, and traffic densities on main roads in 2000
are also highest in Britain and lowest in Sweden. However, the motorway length per capita,
area, and per number of motor vehicles is shortest in Britain and largest in Sweden; British
risks are highest for pedestrians and for motorcyclists, but lowest for car occupants, compared
to the other countries; Car occupant risk is highest in Sweden; Dutch mopedists have almost
twice the risk of mopedists in the other countries, and drive many more kilometres. Dutch
cyclist risk is lowest, but is still higher than car risk even when the risk that cars inflict on other
road users is included, and Dutch citizens cycle by far the most; Sweden has 14% driver
fatalities over 0.1% blood alcohol concentration (BAC) in 2000 versus an estimated 17% in the
Netherlands and a reported 20% in Britain; Levels of child restraint use and seat belt use in
front and back seats are high, but lowest in the Netherlands; The risk on motorways is almost
time five times lower than on other roads. This risk differs slightly in the three countries (2.0 per
billion vehicle kilometres in Britain versus 2.3 in the Netherlands and 2.5 in Sweden); and the
risk on Dutch roads other than motorways is about a third higher than the risk on these roads in the other countries. This report may be accessed by Internet users at: http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Sunflower/Sunflower.pdf.

---


http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/FS_Elderly_and_infrastructure.pdf

The elderly have a larger than average death rate in traffic. The most important cause of this high death rate among the 75 year olds and older is their greater physical vulnerability. In addition, functional limitations can influence their road safety and can lead them to being more often involved in certain types of crashes. The elderly are relatively often involved in crashes that occur while turning left at intersections. Infrastructural measures can reduce the crash involvement of the elderly. Examples are a well-maintained contrast level of road markings and a positive offset of opposite left-turn lanes. They ensure that motorists get information in good time about the traffic situation they are approaching. This is especially important for the elderly because they generally need more time to select the right information and use it to take the correct action.

---


http://www.swov.nl/rapport/Factsheets/FS_Vulnerable_road_users.pdf

The group of vulnerable road users can be subdivided in a number of ways such as the amount of protection in traffic (e.g. pedestrians and cyclists) and the amount of task capability (e.g. the young and the elderly). Vulnerable road users do not usually have a protective 'shell', and also the mass difference between the colliding opponents is often very important. Vulnerable road users can be spared by limiting the driving speed of motorized vehicles and separating as much as possible unequal road user types. Adapting motor vehicles (e.g. by side-underrun-protection of lorries and collision-friendly car fronts) can lessen the injury severity of vulnerable road users.

---


Zie http://www.erso.eu/knowledge/content/40_pedestrians/pedestrians.htm
3. Current research projects

In 2001 the Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management initiated the Vulnerable Road Users project. In 2003 AVV published a report on the knowledge base and identified gaps. The COST 358 project builds on that results. Since 2003 the ministry is working on policy development, for which smaller, dedicated research projects were started. At the moment (July - September 2006) KPVV, a knowledge platform for local and regional authorities, commissioned DHV and SOAB consultants to substantiate starting points for policy measures at the national, regional and local levels. AVV coaches the consultants.

TNO Defence & Safety will, under the condition that the so called Demand Controlled Research Programme ‘Traffic, Transport and Logistics: an intelligent and sustainable step forwards’ is accepted, contribute to this COST Action by studying vulnerable road users in the transport system. The study will cover behavioural and perception research from an ergonomic and human factors perspective. Deliveries will include a benefit assessment vulnerable road users, quality requirements from ergonomic and human factors perspective, specially adapted injury criteria for future accident populations, a Demonstrator Intelligent System Vulnerable Road Users and a human factors contribution to guidelines for sustainable solutions for pedestrians. On behalf of TNO two persons will be involved; the research costs will be 50,000 Euro in 4 years.

SWOV Road Safety Institute will contribute road Safety knowledge. Although the SWOV Research Programme is not yet finalised, in 2007 a detailed accident study regarding pedestrians (and cyclists) is planned. In addition to this SWOV will contribute expertise gathered in the context of the periodical Road Safety Balances and Road Safety Prospects studies. The financial value of the SWOV contribution, amounting at least 40 person days for the accident study, is 35,000 Euro in 2007 and 2008. At least 3 SWOV staff are involved.

ITS Radboud University Nijmegen will contribute to COST 358 by performing a secondary analysis on empirical data regarding pedestrians attitudes and behaviour and, under the condition that funding can be found, a study into typical pedestrians and non-pedestrians attitudes and behaviour, resulting in distinction of subgroups regarding attitudes and behaviour for targeting policy development. ITS delegates 1 researcher to the project. The value of the contribution is estimated to be 15,000 Euro; if budget can be found for the attitudes and behaviour study, the total value will amount 65,000 Euro.

The Technical University Delft – Faculty of Architecture, will contribute to the action through input of expertise stemming from current research on public space design, will look for external budgets for associated research projects and will mobilize students for theses studies within the context of this COST Action. The TU Delft is involved with 1 researcher and probably several students. The value of the contribution without additional research is estimated at 25,000 Euro; if associated research projects can be financed, the value of the contribution will amount at least 75,000 Euro.
The **Stichting Consument en Veiligheid (Consumer Safety Foundation)** collects emergency and hospital data on injury accidents in public space. The foundation reports on specific issues like the safety of rollators and of electric scooters for handicapped persons. The results of such studies will be used as input for the PQN study.

The **Keuning Institute** promotes the concept of ‘Shared space’. The concept is implemented in several cities in the Netherlands and in the United Kingdom. Because of the unexpected popularity of the concept abroad and a somewhat critical attitudes in The Netherlands, the Keuning Institute now stimulates evaluation studies. The outcome of these studies may be relevant for this COST Action.
4. Policy statements

National level

Transport and traffic is embedded in a comprehensive policy paper on land use, the Nota Ruimte (http://international.vrom.nl/pagina.html?id=7348).

There is a difference between 'national' and 'central': The national policy papers are also signed by (representatives of) regional and local authorities, whilst central government (the ministry) can have a policy of its own, not (yet) followed up by national agreement.

This principle is laid down in the Planning Act Transport and Traffic, that introduces a hierarchical system of plans: the National Transport and Traffic Plan (now called Mobility Paper), Regional Transport and Traffic Plans and local plans. The latter are not obligatory, the first two are.

The national policy on transport and traffic is laid down in the Nota Mobiliteit = Policy paper on Mobility. see:
http://www.verkeerenwaterstaat.nl/english/130%5Fmobility%5Fpolicy%5Fdocument/
http://213.156.8.79/pdf/nm4/pkb4-UK.pdf

The ministry of agriculture, nature and food quality is responsible for walking as a form of recreation. This ministry subsidises national walking networks. This ministry is also responsible for green spaces in and around urban areas. Key policy issue is the enlarging and interconnectivity of green structures. This includes construction and relocation of footpaths.

In the National mobility policy paper the pedestrian is not given much attention. Only a few words are spent on walking and the pedestrian. In the cabinet statement – part the word walking (wandelen, lopen) is used 13x, the word pedestrian is mentioned 3x, particularly as a consequence of public participation, where it was noted that the pedestrian is not adequately included in the policy paper.

National government states that pedestrian policy is decentralised and that it is the responsibility of local authorities.

See also SWOT analysis.

Regional and local level

Provinces and Large Urban Areas Authorities are obliged to issue regional Transport and Traffic Plans. These plans follow the directives of the national plan. Thus hardly any attention is given to walking and the pedestrian.

Municipalities are not obliged to issue Transport and Traffic Plans, but there appear to be at least 50 municipalities (of 458) that give some attention to pedestrians. Some, like Den Haag and Amsterdam even have specified policy on the issue. It appears that policy depends on local problems; mid-size and large municipalities give more attention to pedestrian issues that the smaller ones (Knippenberg, 2006). All municipalities implemented the Sustainable Road Safety related 30 km/h zones. Over 50% of all urban roads in NL have a 30 km/h speed limit nowadays.

Knippenberg (2006) found that most common measures with respect to pedestrians are:
• construction of safer pedestrian crossings
• safety and comfort facilities for children, the elderly and the handicapped (accessibility of public spaces)
• construction of more (and sufficiently wide) sidewalks
• construction of sidewalks on both sides of the road
• improvement of accessibility of public transport and parking spaces
• preventing that pedestrians get the feeling that they have to walk round
• realisation of a high-quality pedestrians network
• realisation of a public safe and secure public space.

More than 75% of the municipalities indicate to have locations where pedestrians have difficulty crossing a road or street. All larger municipalities admit these problems. There does not seem to be one single approach for tackling the problem. This result corresponds with the figures from road accidents, where crossing accidents are dominant (70% of all injured pedestrians).

All municipalities have a complaints department or bureau for infrastructure deficiencies. The number of complaints varies very much from municipality to the other.

See SWOT on regional and local policy.
5. Legal position of pedestrians

National legislation and jurisprudence
Relevant national legislation is the Wegenverkeerswet 1994 (Road Traffic Act) and a number of Algemene Maatregelen van Bestuur (General Management Measures) issued by the Minister of Transport, like the Reglement Verkeersregels en Verkeerstekens (RVV 1990 = Regulation of traffic rules and traffic signs) and the Besluit Administratieve Bepalingen inzake het Wegverkeer (BABW 1990 = Resolution Administrative Provisions concerning the road traffic).

Important rules concerning the pedestrian are (RVV 1990):
- parking is not allowed within 5 meters from a zebra
- straight on going pedestrians have a free passage right over turning traffic
- with a Erf, pedestrians can use the full width of the street
- pedestrians are allowed to use the main carriageway if they form a column, procession, parade or funeral procession
- drivers have to give way to pedestrians and users of vehicles for the handicapped crossing on a zebra, or when they apparently intend to cross.
- divers have to give way to all blinds people and all persons that move with difficulty.

Regulations for road authorities are described in the BABW 1990: when and where to place traffic signs and signals, how the urban limit is defined and how parking cards for the handicapped are to be issued.

When a road authority changes a regime (speed limit, parking regulation, no-entry sign etc.) the public can object within 30 days after the decision was published.

Liability for damage suffered on a faulty road can be claimed in court. This is a rising phenomenon in the NL.

Local Legislation
Local legislation can include the duty to keep the pedestrian space clean of litter, snow and ice. However, most municipalities have stricken rules on this issue. Other local legislation concerns the use of sidewalks for terraces, display of goods or advertising signs. Most municipalities have some rules on this point ensuring pedestrian space, but these rules are seldom enforced.

Pedestrian traffic light
There are three kinds of traffic lights for pedestrians:
- the usual red and green signs, placed at the opposite side of the crossing
- a yellow blinking light at (some) mid section crossings, meaning that the pedestrian may cross at his own risk; on call (press the button) the green light can appear, giving the pedestrian the right to cross
- red and green signs placed before the crossing (so called Maastricht Lights), having the same consequences as the common pedestrian traffic lights; the lights cannot be seen by the pedestrian when crossing, making it possible to have only a very short green phase combined with adequate crossing time.

Jurisprudence
There are approximately 210 arrests concerning the pedestrian. It appears that pedestrians have a very strong position in liability cases against drivers and road authorities. The most important jurisprudence is:
**liability of road users**
Most common liability cases are damage claims after accidents. In almost all cases are quite distressing, like collision with a wheelchair in bad weather or a pedestrian killed by a motorcyclist. The pedestrian has a strong position in such cases. In almost all cases motorized traffic is held liable for at least 50% of the damage costs. This 50% rule also counts for bicyclist. For children under 14 years of age motorists are 100% liable.

**procedures against local authority rulings**
Procedures against local authorities mostly concern closings of roads for motorized traffic. These claims weaken the position of pedestrians.

**appeals against fines and punishment**
With regard to offences against pedestrians appeals are made; most of the times this concerns neglect of yielding pedestrians at pedestrian crossings (fine is € 130).

**liability of road authorities**
In a limited number of cases pedestrians claim damage from local authorities. Most of the times these claims relate to falls caused by faulty maintenance.

**Enforcement**
There are no data on enforcement of pedestrian behaviour or behaviour towards pedestrians. This probably means that such enforcement hardly exists.
6. Best practices

At the moment we do not have an overview of best practices form municipalities. From the consultancy agency SOAB (one of the very few agencies having special attention for pedestrian issues) the following list originated:

- **Hitch-a-ride- projects**:
  - commercial area De Dubbelen
  - MMM scan
  - 30 km/h zoning in Nieuw Borgvliet
  - Kerkbrink Hilversum
  - Safety scan roundabout N617
  - Re-furnishing streets in Woerden
  - Shopping centre Westermarkt (Amsterdam)

- **Special pedestrian projects**
  - Zebra-action Rotterdam
  - Urban through road Werkendam
  - Pedestrian circles Breda
  - Going further on foot – Heusdenhout
  - Autodetection pedestrian crossing Breda
  - Eyes on the zebra – Amsterdam
  - Safe the zebra
  - Who is afraid of the zebra?
  - report About Crossing the street

- **Kindlint projects (Child ribbon projects)**
  - Westerpark (Amsterdam)
  - Delft
  - Middelburg
  - Noord-Beveland
  - Cadzand-Bad

- **Health Care Residence Zones**
  - The Hague – Moerwijk
  - Harderwijk

- **Educations and schools**:
  - Schoolenvironment plan Houten
  - Safe to school in Tholen
  - Zeveraar 4 times daily
  - Safe to school in Noordwijkerhout, Vlaardingen and Delft

- **Yellow pedestrian routes for the elderly**
  - Breda, Bavel, Ulvenhout, Brabantpark, Heusdenhout (see: www.kcwz.nl)
7. Innovations
Koen Knippenberg made an inventory of innovations with regard to pedestrians. Most of these innovations have an international scope. He indicates the following innovations:

Vehicles
Some new cars have received 4 stars in EuroNCAP for pedestrian safety (Jaguar, Citroen C6) for bonnet-mechanisms that rise when a collision occurs. This prevents that the pedestrian collides with the engine block, causing severe head injury. In addition to this innovation the use of softer front materials is increasing.

In Belgium the so called courteous light is invented: a green brake light at the front of a car so that pedestrians, waiting at zebras can assess better whether a driver notices them or not. In NL the proposal is received with sceptis.

A new vehicle type is the segway. This is a self-balancing people mover. the rider stands on a small platform. A recent user study in Germany made clear that the segway is not a particularly safe vehicle. AVV recommends to be very cautious with promoting the vehicle and not to admit it in pedestrian areas.

Outdoor gadgets
Skeelers have been followed up by heelskates, invented in Korea. It seems to take a while before one is able to use the gadget. Like skeelers they do not seem to be very safe for pedestrians (see: http://www.pliws.nl).

Another new invention is the Powerizer (see: http://www.poweriserpages.com), which also is mainly meant for recreational use. Its safety for pedestrians is not guaranteed.

Infrastructure
Much more positive are new technical devices for infrastructure:
• pedestrians detection for traffic lights (infra red, radar, video- based)
• aluminum tactile tiles
• waiting time indicators for traffic lights (various types: indicating seconds before green, hour glass like indicator etc.)
• guidance lights (LED) alongside zebra crossings
8. General atmosphere.

The general atmosphere regarding the position of pedestrians is more or less determined by the following factors and conditions:
1. spatial and environmental conditions
2. culture and subcultures
3. position in transport and traffic
4. position within the political and societal force field
5. feelings of relevant practitioners
6. media attention and public opinion.

These factors and conditions will be discussed below. This first draft is based on personal observations, discussions with colleagues and signals from Dutch reports, articles and various news letters. References will be added later.

1. Spatial and environmental conditions
   - The climatic and geomorphologic situation in the Netherlands are very favorable for walking (and cycling). The country lies in a moderate climate zone: temperatures are very moderate; frost is rare, temperatures above 30°C are even rarer. Wind may be a problem and the climate is relatively moist (it rains approximately 6% of the time). The country is very flat apart from a small part in the south of the Netherlands (Zuid-Limburg: the Maastricht area).
   - Since the 17th century the country had restrictive urban planning laws. This resulted in rather compact, walkable cities and towns. Up till now food is sold in relatively centrally located shops. It is forbidden to sell food in mega-shops in industrial areas. There is however a trend towards mega-shops in industrial areas. This trend was led by Ikea and large Do-it-yourself home improvement shops.
   - In 1998 the Dutch road authorities agreed to the so called Starting-Up Program Sustainable Road safety. One of the major accomplishments of this program is that no more than 50% of the urban road network is fixed up as 30 km/h zone. The norm for sojourn areas now is 30 km/h. In addition to this there are also (older) Woonerfs (shared space home zones, where the speed limit is 15 km/h and parking is only allowed in specially assigned parking spaces).
   - In the Netherlands urban space is expensive. It pays to reduce road space. Traffic calming makes it possible to make narrow narrow or to make road users share the space (Woonerf!). Currently in most of the cases the reason for making a Woonerf is financial, not safety of liveability (although the latter is used as the prime sales argument by both the local authorities and the real estate agents).
   - Because of the parking pressure in home zones sidewalks are sometimes narrowed to make room for more cars.
   - In cities almost all streets have two sided sidewalks. In villages (outside heavily populated the Western part of the country) sidewalks are not so common.
   - There is a system of long distance walk paths, but the network is not very dense. The system is made up of long distance routes. The most famous ones are signed, like the Pieterpad (approximately 300 km from Pietersberg near Maastricht to Pieterburen in the North of Groningen)
   - In some regions the soil is rather soft and peaty, particularly in the low western part of the Netherlands, where the majority of the population lives. In these circumstances every few years roads and parcels have to be leveled up and the pavement has to be renewed. This makes it possible to update the functional quality of the physical environment. On the other hand, since renewal costs are quite substantial, low cost materials will be used.
   - In larger cities like Rotterdam, Amsterdam, Utrecht, The Hague, Almere, Groningen large high rise building have become very fashionable. For pedestrians and bicyclists this has
severe disadvantages: walking distances increase, in windy and rainy situations there is severe draft, there are no longer ‘eyes on the street’ (security).

- Maintenance of urban green space is mostly privatized; local authorities do not take care of the green themselves, but commission this to private companies. The budgets are severely cut. As a result the quality of parks and green strips has decreased substantially. The area of play areas for children have been downsized and 'economized' as well.

2. Culture and subcultures

- The Dutch culture can traditionally be characterized as Calvinistic (austerity, business sense, 'luxury is a sinn'). This reflects on the design and material use in public space. Even in high profile areas like shopping streets and central market places and plazas simple, non costly materials are used. Roads are relatively narrow, which proves to be a positive point for walking and pedestrians: relatively easy to cross because of short distance and lower car speeds.
- Contrary to most countries the bicycle has not been abandoned by the public. Cycling has a good image. Even the prime minister, the Queen and other celebrities use the bicycle in public. Of course car use is very common, too
- Culture demands that children and the handicapped are to be protected
- Children, women and the elderly (dominantly women) walk more than average
- Recreational (long distance) walking: mid-aged women over-represented
- In the Netherlands vulnerable road users have limited liability in case of accidents. Children younger than 14 year of age are not liable at all, apart from very evident malice situation. Others in principle are liable for at most 50%. This favorable legal position of vulnerable road users is currently under debate (see below: relation to tendency towards more self regulation and personal responsibilities).
- Since the eighties Government stimulated home ownership. Currently the majority (56%, source: CBS) of inhabitants are home owners, making it the norm for housing. Home ownership generally makes people feel more responsible for their home environment. During the last decade home prices have risen substantially. At current prices 'starters' can no longer afford to buy their own home and need to rent.
- Since the seventies people demand more privacy (Cocooning) and shield their homes with gates, fences and high hedges and position their living room area at the back side of their homes. This results in less supervision of and attention to the public area in front of their homes.
- People spend increasingly less time in their streets. Living concentrates in-house and in their gardens.
- Like most countries, the Netherlands have a growing number of immigrants (19.2% of the population is immigrant; almost half of them are non-western). Society becomes more and more multicultural. Immigrants do not use the bicycle so much; they walk more and use public transport more than non-immigrants.

3. Position in transport and traffic

- The situation in the Netherlands differs fundamentally from most other countries: for space, rights and investments the pedestrian has to compete with the bicycle, which is perceived as much more important.
- pecking order in traffic (commercial traffic → car traffic → motorized two wheeler → bicycle → pedestrian); cyclists generally perceive pedestrians as irritating.
- In many cases pedestrians are shielded from traffic by parked cars.
4. Position within the political and societal force field

- **main issue of pedestrians is road safety; the road safety of pedestrians is perceived to be better than that of the bicycle, moped and the car, which is true from the traffic accidents points of view, but not with regard to general accidentology and risks.**

- **National level: in the formal sense pedestrian safety and mobility is the shared responsibility of 4 ministries: utilitarian traffic = Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, recreational walking = Ministry of Agriculture and Fishery and Tourism, health aspects: ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports; health and safety of the working environment = Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment. The ministry of Health does not focus on trauma due to accidents; it feels that this is the responsibility of the other ministries. In practice central government perceives the issue as a local matter.**

- **Regional level: apart from recreational walking, walking and pedestrian are not seen as a relevant issue on this level**

- **At the local level pedestrian safety, particularly regarding children and the handicapped, is seen as an issue, but there are more important issues to be tackled. They argue that there are not many complaints on this issue from the public. Problems are generally solved ad hoc.**

- **In general local authorities are sympathetic to the issue and to complaints regarding pedestrian issues. Taking care of it simply does not come to their mind, but if some points out the need for improvement, most of the times they do not object or deny its need. Most local authorities react positively and make (small) repairs.**

- **In national program manifestos of political parties the pedestrian is rarely mentioned. In local manifestos quite the opposite is the case. Her the smaller Christian and left wing parties devote more space and force to the issue than the large parties; right wing parties seem to have no affinity with walking or pedestrians (Knippenberg, 2006)**

5. Feelings of relevant practitioners and policy makers

- **The tendency to minimize government (lean government target) leads to disposing of tasks and a ‘return to core business’ . Thus National government decentralizes policy responsibility to local authorities. Local authorities have many other concrete and urgent matters to attend, refer to the citizen’s own responsibility to take care of their environment and argue that there are hardly any complaints.**

- **The police often argue that there are many much more serious problems to attend and find that traffic safety is the road user’s own responsibility. In the past the police seemed hardly really interested in pedestrian issues. In fact traffic has low status within policing. Violation of traffic rules with regard to pedestrians were seldom reinforced. As a rule pedestrians do not get fines for traffic rules violations. There seems to be some change in attitude. In Rotterdam for instance in 3 months time almost 800 car drivers were fined for endangering crossing pedestrians (Telegraaf, 25 March 2006). Reactions to the article were not all positive: some respondents named it racketeering or nonsense.**

- **A direct result from the lean government tendency are a new forms of contracting, in which the contractor is given full responsibility for design and realization, including safety measures during the building process. It is clear that the contractor / builder has other interests than safe freedom of movement of pedestrians. There are quite a few examples where pedestrians are obliged to make a (lengthy) detour, cross four traffic lanes etc., even in the vicinity of train stations (Rotterdam-Blaak, Apeldoorn) and other public attraction sites. Government no longer acts as a safety net and gives the necessary permits without any check. When there are a lot of complaints the public is simply ignored: ‘the permits have been issued, there is nothing we can do...’**

- **The Shared Space (Monderman) movement fits nicely into the (political common sense) call for more self regulation. Some experts however argue that self regulation in traffic has undetected side effects: parents keep their children out of potentially dangerous**
situations, the elderly and the handicapped also evade such situations that are perceived as dangerous (self censure).

- The national Road Safety Institute SWOV does not give priority to gathering expertise on pedestrian safety; the institute refers to AVV as the expert organization. AVV merges with the Roads and Waterway Building Agency to the new DVS Transport and Navigation Agency, which will focus on serving the Rijkswaterstaat (national road and waterway authority) where pedestrians do not fit in. Advising policy makers at the Ministry of Transport is no longer key product.
- Delft Technical University focuses on efficient and agreeable use of public space and public facilities; in general attention to pedestrian issues is related to individual preferences and interest.
- The Central Statistics office (Statistics Netherlands) does not pay much attention to the pedestrian in statistics, because there is hardly any demand for that (a spokesman said).
- Within the traffic and transport sciences circle walking is getting more and more attention. In the last bi-annual National Traffic and Transport Experts Workshop more than 25% of the presentations dealt with vulnerable road users. In earlier years the number never exceeded 10%.
- In most cases the argument for taking measures beneficial for pedestrians (like traffic calming, reconstruction of a street into a Woonerf or 30 km/h zone, pedestrianisation, street lighting) is not perceived pedestrian problems but other issues like aesthetics, urban renewal, sewerage reconstruction, commercial needs.
- Traffic engineers have far less eye for pedestrian issues and pedestrian quality than urban designers, urbanists, architects, town planners. Traffic engineers focus of facilities for (car) traffic (capacity, circulation, parking, safety) and generally do not pay much attention to perception of public space. An exception is the relatively new Shared Space movement, which concentrates on the rather intensively used central locations within smaller and mid sized towns. Again, this movement is more architect / urban design oriented than on the efficiency transport and traffic. Special groups like children, the handicapped and the elderly are not implicitly included.
- In the traffic engineering community vulnerable road users issues are seen as ‘girly traffic engineering issues’ (Ineke Spapé during the 2007 National Traffic and Transport Experts Workshop).
- Although only few local authorities explicitly deal with pedestrian structures and facilities, there are some that mention the issue. In those cases the argumentation is: ‘Because walking routes fall within the sojourn areas, there is no need to deal with it in a the municipal traffic structure plan. During the elaboration of the design of the sojourn area walking routes will be dealt with. The measures that are taken into account in this structure plan are related to the pedestrians’ need to cross main roads in Veenendaal...” (Goudappel Coffeng, Veenendaal veilig bereikbaar - Verkeersstructuurplan, Deventer, 1998). In Knippenberg’s survey 65% of the municipalities indicate to be interested in research of pedestrian issues.
- In general children, and to a lesser extent the handicapped, are the ‘motor’ for attention to walking and pedestrian facilities. Many cities have or had a policy paper on school routes and/or handicapped routes (Amsterdam, The Hague, Voorschoten, Leiden, Zeewolde, Hilversum: see Knippenberg, 2006). Lately the ageing of the population is also used as argument, particularly on the national level. In some cases on the local level home – care zones have become a leading issue.
- Bicyclists are much better of in traffic and public area policies than pedestrians. In almost all relevant plans policy with regard to bicyclists and bicycle facilities are mentioned, whereas pedestrians and walking hardly gets any attention.
- In general expert perceive the Dutch situation as relatively adequate.
- Lately there is discussion going on regarding zebra crossings. Some municipalities (a small number) indicated to remove zebra crossings, whilst others indicated to have improvement plans. Within the traffic engineering community the opinion that zebra’s
alone are not sufficient, dominates. They promote additional measures like elevated crossings, middle islands, narrowing of the street, highly visible portals etc.

6. Media attention public opinion.

- In general only deviant developments and special situation get media attention. The fate of common things is that they do not get attention. The national media pay hardly any attention to pedestrian issues; local media pay some attention to pedestrian accidents, particularly on zebra crossings. In public opinion columns pedestrian issues are quite frequently dealt with, mostly from the vulnerable position.

- The national mobility and traffic safety NGO's (VVN, ANWB, Fietserbond, Wandelvierdaagse, Stichting LWA) pay limited attention to walking and pedestrians. They focus on 'easy' groups like children, the handicapped and potential tourists. Most of the times the message gets lost in abundance of competing messages.

- On internetfora rather obedient reacties are found (possibly the nasty ones are censured by the forum editors).

- In the national traffic safety campaign “I love...” compliance to traffic rules is advocated (I love ... stopping for zebra’s; I love... 30 km/h zones).

- In general the public opinion regarding pedestrian issues is favorable, but hardly anyone gives it top priority. Thus the influence is lost in the competition of issues that are perceived to be more urgent.